Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B
2 Volume ?23, Number ?4, ?October 2012

arXiv:1010.3374v1 [math.GM] 16 Oct 2010

PROOF OF THE LINDELÖF HYPOTHESIS

CHENG, YUAN-YOU FU-RUI AND WANG, JU-PING

Dedicated to Zhexian Wan on the occasion of his ?? birthday

1. Introduction

The Riemann zeta function is a function of complex variable s, which is customarily denoted by $s = \sigma + it$. This function is defined by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \tag{1.1}$$

for $\sigma > 1$. It is analytically continued to a meromorphic function on the complex plane with the unique pole at $s = 1$, for example, by

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{(k+1)^s}, \tag{1.2}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{1\}$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. In (1.2), the pole of the function $(1 - 2^{1-s})^{-1}$ at $s = 1$ corresponds to the unique pole of $\zeta(s)$. Denote the set of all natural numbers by $\mathbb{N}$. All other poles of this function occur at $s = 1 + 2\pi m i$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. They are each canceled with those zeros of the function defined by the double sum on the right.

The Riemann zeta function plays a pivotal role in analytic number theory and many other branches of mathematics and physics. It has so-called trivial zeros at $s = -2n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Other zeros for the Riemann zeta function are located in the so-called critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$. The Riemann hypothesis made by Bernhart Riemann in 1859 is considered to be one of the greatest unsolved problems in mathematics, asserts that all non-trivial zeros are located on the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, see [34].

Denote by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of real numbers and $\mathbb{R}^+$ the set of positive real numbers. We use the symbol $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for an arbitrary small positive real number, not necessarily the same at each occurrence in a given statement. The Lindelöf hypothesis is a conjecture made by Finnish mathematician Ernst Leonard Lindelöf in 1908, see [28], about the rate of growth of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line such that

$$|\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) = O(t^{\epsilon}). \tag{1.3}$$

The Lindelöf hypothesis is implied by the Riemann hypothesis. For references, see [19], [20], or [23].

Received by the editors February or April 8, 2011.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D99, 11M26.

It says that, for any e ¿ 0,

We shall use notation $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of the integers and the notation $a\mathbb{N}+b$, to denote the subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ containing all integers in the form of $an+b$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are fixed. We use the notation $g(x) = f(x) + \mathrm{Ie}(h(x))$ to represent the fact that $|g(x) - f(x)| \leq h(x)$. Suppose that $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are complex functions of the variable x and $f(y)$ is a positive real-valued function of y. The notation $g(x) = h(x) + O\big(f(y)\big)$ represents the fact that $|g(x) - h(x)| \leq Bf(y)$ with some absolute sconstant $B > 0$ whenever y is sufficiently large or $y \geq y_0$ for some fixed positive number y_0. For convenience, we also use the notation $f(x) = \lhd\, g(y)$ for the statement $|f(x)| \leq g(y)$.

The main results are as follows.

Theorem 1. *The Lindelöf hypothesis is valid. In fact, we have*

$$\zeta(s) = O(t^?), \tag{1.4}$$

for $0 < \sigma < 1$.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ *and* $E > 0$. *Then,*

$$N(\lambda, T+E) - N(\lambda, T-E) = o(\log T), \tag{1.5}$$

for sufficiently large N.

2. Representation by logarithms

In this section, we express $N(\lambda, T+E) - N(\lambda, T-E)$ as the sum of the imaginary parts for several logarithmic functions as in (2.10).

Let ε be small enough such that there are no zeros for the Riemann zeta function for $\lambda - 2\varepsilon < \sigma < \lambda$ and $T < t < T + 5\varepsilon$. Denote $X = \lambda - \varepsilon$, $Y = T + \varepsilon$, and $Y_1 = T + 4\varepsilon$. Let $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $\mathcal{C}_3$, $\mathcal{C}_4$, and $\mathcal{C}_5$ be the route along the line segment from $A_1 = \frac{1}{2} + Y\,i$ to $B_1 = X + Y\,i$, $A_2 = X + Y\,i$ to $B_2 = X$, $A_3 = X$ to $B_3 = 2$, $A_4 = 2$ to $B_4 = 2 + Y_1\,i$, and $A_5 = 2 + Y_1\,i$ to $B_5 = \frac{1}{2} + Y_1\,i$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a line segment and $\mathbf{U}$ be the union of all open disks of radius ϵ that have centers along the points of $\mathcal{W}$. For brevity, we shall denote such a $\mathbf{U}$ by the set function $U(\mathcal{W})$ of the line segment as the variable, henceforth. Let $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{5} \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{C}_j)$. It may be shown that $\log \xi(s)$ is defined uniquely and analytic in the open region U with $\log \xi(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In [12], it is formulated that

$$N(\lambda, T) = \sum_{j=1}^{5} \Im \log \xi(s)\Big|_{A_j}^{B_j}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\frac{1}{2} < X < 1$, $x = X$ or 2, and $E > 0$. We now let ε be sufficiently small such that there are no zeros for the Riemann zeta function for $x - 2\varepsilon < \sigma < x$, $T - E < t < T - E + 5\varepsilon$, and $T + E < t < T + E + 5\varepsilon$. Denote by $T(X) = T - E$ and $T(2) = T + E$. Then, denote by $\mathcal{D}_1(x)$, $\mathcal{D}_2(x)$, $\mathcal{D}_3(x)$, and $\mathcal{D}_4(x)$ the route along the segment from $A_1(x) = x$ to $B_1(x) =$

$x+i(T(x)+\varepsilon)$, $A_2(x)=\frac{1}{2}+i(T(x)+\varepsilon)$ to $B_2(x)=x+i(T(x)+\varepsilon)$, $A_3(x)=x+i(T(x)+\varepsilon)$ to $B_3(x)=x+i(T(x)+4\varepsilon)$, and $A_4(x)=x+i(T(x)+4\varepsilon)$ to $B_4(x)=\frac{1}{2}+i(T(x)+4\varepsilon)$, respectively. Let $V(x)=\bigcup_{j=1}^{4}\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{D}_j(x))$. Therefore, $\log\xi(s)$ is defined uniquely and analytic in the open region $V(x)$ with $\log\xi(s)\in\mathbb{R}$ for $s\in\mathbb{R}$. From (2.1), we see that

$$N(\lambda,T+E)-N(\lambda,T-E)=\Im[S(2)-S(X)],\tag{2.2}$$

with

$$S(x)=\sum_{j=2}^{4}\log\xi(s)\Big|_{A_j(x)}^{B_j(x)}.$$

where the value of $\log\xi(s)$ is uniquely defined and analytic in the open region $V(x)$ by analytic continuation from $\log\xi(s)\in\mathbb{R}$ for s on the real axis. One may say that $\log\xi(s)$ is uniquely defined in the union of $V(X)$ and $V(2)$, but not in the ordinary sense. We must regard this union as disjoint in the sense of the Riemann surface. At the intersection of $V(X)$ and $V(2)$ in the usual sense, the value of $\log\xi(s)$ may not be the same without the Riemann hypothesis.

Nevertheless, we may rearrange the the sum in (2.2) in the following way. That is,

$$N(\lambda,T+E)-N(\lambda,T-E)=\Im[\mathfrak{H}(2)-\mathfrak{H}(X)+\mathfrak{H}]\,,\tag{2.3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{H}(x)&=\log\xi(s)\Big|_{A_4(x)}^{B_4(x)}-\log\xi(s)\Big|_{A_2(x)}^{B_2(x)},\\ \mathfrak{H}&=\log\xi(s)\Big|_{A_3(2)}^{B_3(2)}-\log\xi(s)\Big|_{A_3(X)}^{B_3(X)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we let D be the line segment from X to 2 and

$$V=\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{D})\bigcup\Big[\bigcup_{j=1,3;\,x=X,2}\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{D}_j(x))\Big].$$

Each of $\mathfrak{H}(2)$, $\mathfrak{H}(X)$, and $\mathfrak{H}$ is uniquely defined and analytic in an open region $V(2)$, $V(X)$, and V, respectively. Note that each of $V(2)$, $V(X)$, and V is on a fixed layer of the Riemann surface and each of $\mathfrak{H}(2)$, $\mathfrak{H}(X)$, and $\mathfrak{H}$ is analytically continued from $\log\xi(s)\in\mathbb{R}$ for $s\in\mathbb{R}$, recalling that $\xi(s)\in\mathbb{R}^+$.

Denote that $B=?$, $C=?$, and $R=?$ henceforth. We use the pseudo zeta function defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&A(s)\\ &=\frac{1}{8}\Big[\Big(B^{-\frac{i\,C(s-1/2)}{4}}+B^{-\frac{i\,C(1/2-s)}{4}}\Big)^4+\Big(B^{-\frac{i\,C(s-1/2)}{4}}-B^{-\frac{i\,C(1/2-s)}{4}}\Big)^4\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

and pseudo Gamma function

$$\nabla(s)=\frac{1}{8}\Big[\Big(R^{\frac{s-1/2}{8}}+R^{\frac{1/2-s}{8}}\Big)^4+\Big(R^{\frac{s-1/2}{8}}-R^{\frac{1/2-s}{8}}\Big)^4\Big].\tag{2.5}$$

as defined in [12]. Note that $\log s = O(1)$ and $\log(s-1) = O(1)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\lambda, T+E) - N(\lambda, T-E) \\ & = \Im[\mathfrak{A}(2) - \mathfrak{A}(X)] + \Im\mathfrak{B} - \Im[\mathfrak{C}(2) - \mathfrak{C}(X)] \\ & \quad - \Im[\mathfrak{D}(2) - \mathfrak{D}(X)] + \Im\mathfrak{E} + \Im\mathfrak{F} + O(1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}(x) &= \log \frac{\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{A(s)\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{A_4(x)}^{B_4(x)} - \log \frac{\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{A(s)\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{A_2(x)}^{B_2(x)}, \\ \mathfrak{B} &= \log \frac{\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{A(s)\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{A_3(2)}^{B_3(2)} - \log \frac{\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{A(s)\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{A_3(X)}^{B_3(X)}, \\ \mathfrak{C} &= \log \frac{A(s+iE)}{A(s-iE)}\bigg|_{A_4(x)}^{B_4(x)} - \log \frac{A(s+iE)}{A(s-iE)}\bigg|_{A_2(x)}^{B_2(x)}, \\ \mathfrak{D} &= \log \frac{\nabla(s+iE)}{\nabla(s-iE)}\bigg|_{A_4(x)}^{B_4(x)} - \log \frac{\nabla(s+iE)}{\nabla(s-iE)}\bigg|_{A_2(x)}^{B_2(x)}, \\ \mathfrak{E} &= \log \frac{A(2-X+s)}{A(s)}\bigg|_{A_3(X)}^{B_3(X)}, \quad \mathfrak{F} = \log \frac{\nabla(2-X+s)}{\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{A_3(X)}^{B_3(X)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We recall the following lemma from [12].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that the function $f(s)$ is analytic and nonzero in a simply connected open region and a simple curve from $s = s_1$ to $s = s_2$ is inside this open region. Then,*

$$\Im\left[\log f(s_2) - \log f(s_1)\right] \lhd \ (m+1)\pi, \tag{2.8}$$

where m is the number of points s_0 on the route from s_1 to s_2, exclusive of the end points, at which $\Re f(s_0) = 0$.

By this lemma, we see that $\mathfrak{A}(x) < \mathfrak{A}'(x)$ for $x = X$ and $x = 2$, where

$$\mathfrak{A}'(x) = \log \frac{\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{A(s)\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{x}^{B_4(x)} - \log \frac{\zeta(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{A(s)\nabla(s)}\bigg|_{x}^{B_2(x)}, \tag{2.9}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\lambda, T+E) - N(\lambda, T-E) \\ & \lhd |\Im\mathfrak{A}(2)| + |\Im\mathfrak{A}(X)| + |\Im\mathfrak{B}| + |\Im[\mathfrak{C}(2) - \mathfrak{C}(X)]| \\ & \quad + |\Im[\mathfrak{D}(2) - \mathfrak{D}(X)]| + |\Im\mathfrak{E}| + |\Im\mathfrak{F}| + O(1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

3. GROWTH RATE OF ZEROS

In this section, we prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{A}'(x) =, \mathfrak{B} =, \mathfrak{C}(2) - \mathfrak{C}(X) =, \\ & \mathfrak{D}(2) - \mathfrak{D}(X) =, \mathfrak{E} =, \mathfrak{F} = . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, Theorem 2 is validated.

Let $B = \frac{9Y}{5} - ?$ and $C = \frac{5\delta \log Y + \log 9 - \log 5}{9Y}$ henceforth. We claim that

$$\frac{\zeta(s)}{A(s)} = O(1), \tag{3.2}$$

on the annulus $?? \leq |s - \frac{1}{2}| \leq$ for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. To confirm (3.2), we may assume that $t \geq 0$ by the Schwarz reflection principle. We have two cases. Case 1: $0 \leq t < \frac{2}{C \log B}$. Note that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\, A(s)}{\mathrm{d}\, t} = \frac{C \log B}{4} \Big[B^{-i\, C(s-1/2)} - B^{-i\, C(s-1/2)} \Big] \lhd \frac{C \log B}{4} \big(e^{1/2} + 1 \big),$$

and

$$A\big(\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) = 2\big[\cos^4\big(\tfrac{C(\sigma-1/2)\log B}{4}\big) + \sin^4\big(\tfrac{C(\sigma-1/2)\log B}{4}\big)\big] \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$A(s) = A\big(\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}\big) + t\, \tfrac{\mathrm{d}\, A(\sigma - 1/2 + it)}{\mathrm{d}\, t}\Big|_{t=t^*} \rhd 1 - \tfrac{e^{2?}+1}{8} \geq \tfrac{1}{3}, \tag{3.3}$$

by the mean-value theorem with $0 \leq t^* \leq t$. The estimate (3.2) is valid by noting that $|\zeta(s)| = O(1)$ in this case. Case 2: $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{C \log R}$. We have

$$A(s) = \frac{1}{4} B^{-iC(s-1/2)} \left(1 + \tfrac{6}{B^{-iC(s-1/2)}} + \tfrac{1}{B^{-2iC(s-1/2)}} \right) \rhd \frac{1}{6} B^{Ct},$$

for sufficiently large Y, from $(1+z)^4 + (1-z)^4 = 2(1 + 6z^2 + z^4)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, (3.2) is justified from the designation of B and C.

We recall the estimate

$$B(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\nabla(s)} = O(1), \tag{3.4}$$

on the circle $|s - | =$.

Not use the same method!!

Lemma 3.1. *If $R > 0$, and f is a function that is regular for $|z - z_0| \leq R$, and has at least m zeros in $|z - z_0| \leq r < R$, with multiple zeros being counted according to their order of multiplicity, then, if $f(z_0) \neq 0$, we have*

$$\left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^m \leq \frac{M}{|f(z_0)|}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $M = \max |f(z)|$ for $|z - z_0| = R$.

4. Backlund's reasoning

In this section, we use the result from the last section to prove Theorem 1 in the case $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We follow Backland's argument in 1918, see [4]. A slightly different approach may be found in [29].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\delta > 0$. For $t \geq 3$, one has*

$$|\zeta(s)| < \begin{cases} O\big(t^{(1-\delta)/2}\big), & \text{when } \delta \leq \sigma \leq 1, \\ O(\log t), & \text{when } \sigma > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Denote* $D(r) = \{s : |s| \leq r\}$ *for* $r > 0$. *Let* $f(s)$ *be an analytic function in an open region containing the disk* $D(r)$ *with* $f(0) = 0$. *Denote*

$$M(r) = \max_{s \in D(r)} |f(s)|. \tag{4.2}$$

Then,

$$M(r_1) \leq \tfrac{2r_1}{r_0 - r_1} M(r_0), \tag{4.3}$$

whenever $r_1 < r_0$.

Lemma 4.3 (The three-circle theorem)**.** *Suppose that* $f(s)$ *is analytic in the annulus* $r_1 < |s| < r_2$ *and continuous on the closed annulus. Let* $M(r)$ *be the maximum of* $|f(s)|$ *for* $|s| = r$. *Then*

$$M(r) \leq M\big(r_1\big)^{\frac{\log(r_2/r)}{\log(r_2/r_1)}} M\big(r_2\big)^{\frac{\log(r/r_1)}{\log(r_2/r_1)}}. \tag{4.4}$$

For the estimate on the Riemann zeta function, we have Lemma 4.1, which is a portion of Theorem 1.9 on page 25 in [23].

Let $\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < 1$, $1 < \sigma_0 \leq \frac{3}{2}$, $0 < \delta < \sigma_0 - 1$, and $s_0 = \sigma_0 + iT$. Let

$$\varepsilon < \tfrac{1}{4} \min_{\rho \in \mathbf{Z},\, \rho' \in \mathbf{Z}:\ T-1 \leq |\gamma| \leq T+2,\ T-1 \leq |\gamma'| \leq T+2} \Big\{ \big|\rho - \rho'\big| \Big\}, \tag{4.5}$$

and $R_0 = \sigma_0 - \lambda - \varepsilon$, $R_1 = R - \varepsilon$, $R = R - 2\varepsilon$ and $R_2 = \sigma_0 - 1 - \delta$. We let $\mathcal{C}_0$, $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be the circles with center s_0 and radius R_0, R_1, R and R_2, respectively. We shall apply Lemma 4.2 on the circles $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_1$ and the three circle theorem on $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}_2$. It is from the Euler product formula that there are no zeros of $\zeta(s)$ inside and on the circle $\mathcal{C}_2$. By the designation of ε, there are no zeros for $\zeta(s)$ on the circle $\mathcal{C}_0$, $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}$.

Denote by s_k for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ inside $\mathcal{C}$ counted with multiplicities. Define

$$z_k(s) = \tfrac{R^2 - \overline{s_k - s_0}(s - s_0)}{R(s - s_k)}, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$Z(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{k=1}^{K} z_k(s). \tag{4.7}$$

We shall prove that

$$Z(s) \lhd t^{\epsilon} \tag{4.8}$$

on the circle $\mathcal{C}$. Then, we obtain $\zeta(s) \lhd T^{\epsilon}$ on the circle $\mathcal{C}$, noting that $|z_k(s)| > 1$ for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$. This implies $\zeta(\lambda - 3\varepsilon + it) = O(t^{\epsilon})$ and $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) = O(t^{\epsilon})$ by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\lambda \to \frac{1}{2}$.

Next, we use Lemma 4.2 to the function $f(s) = \log \frac{Z(s)}{Z(s_0)}$ on the circles $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ to show that

$$|\log Z(s)| < C \log T, \tag{4.9}$$

with some fixed positive constant C. We remark here that $f(s_0)=0$. Note that $|z_k(s)|=1$ for s on the circle $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\log Z(s) = \log|Z(s)| = \log|\zeta(s)|\\ + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\log|z_k(s)| = \log|\zeta(s)| = O(\log t),\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Note that $\log|z_k(s_0)| = \log\frac{R}{|s_k-s_0|} \geq 0$ and $|\log|\zeta(s_0)|| \leq \log\zeta(\sigma_0)$ so that $\log|\zeta(s_0)| > -\log\zeta(\sigma_0)$. It follows that $\log|Z(s)| = \Re\log|Z(s)| + \log|z_k(s)| = \log|\zeta(s)|$. Therefore,

$$?? < \log|Z(s)| < ??C\log t, \tag{4.11}$$

on the circle $\mathcal{A}$. On the other hand,

We first prove that

$$|\log Z(s)| \leq o(\log T), \tag{4.12}$$

on the circle $\mathcal{D}$. For each k, note that $z_k(s)$ is a fractional linear transformation which carries s_k to ∞ and $\mathcal{A}$ to the unit circle, it carries $\mathcal{D}$ to a circle which lies outside the unit circle and does not encompass it. Since this circle contains in the interior the point $z_k(s_0) = \frac{R}{s_0-s_k} = \frac{R\,\overline{s_0-s_k}}{|s_0-s_k|^2}$ which lies on the same ray from the origin as $\overline{s_0-s_k}$ and which therefore lies in the halfplane $\Re z_k(s)>0$, $\log z_k(s)$ can be defined inside and on $\mathcal{D}$ by the condition $|\Im\log z_k(s_0)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. This gives the meaning of

$$\log Z(s) = \log\zeta(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\log f_k(s), \tag{4.13}$$

throughout the interior of $\mathcal{D}$ and hence, by analytic continuation, throughout the interior of $\mathcal{A}$, as $Z(s)$ is analytic and nonzero by the definition of $Z(s)$. Now, $|\Im\log z_k(s)| < \frac{3\pi}{2}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ because a circle which does not contain the origin cannot intersect both halves of the imaginary axis. Note that $|\Im\log z_k(s)| < \frac{3\pi}{2}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ because a circle which does not contain the origin cannot intersect both halves of the imaginary axis. On the other hand, one sees that $|s_k-s_0|<R$, $1\leq|z_k(s)| < \frac{R+\sigma_0-1-\delta}{\delta}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ so that

$$0 \leq \Re\log z_k(s) = \log|z_k(s)| < \log\tfrac{R+\sigma_0-1-\delta}{\delta}.$$

From this, we have

$$|\log Z(s)| \lhd K\log\tfrac{R+\sigma_0-1-\delta}{\delta} + O(1), \tag{4.14}$$

recalling (4.13) and noting that $|\zeta(s)| < \zeta(1+\delta) = O(1)$. By the assumption on K, we acquire (4.12).

Now, we use Lemma 4.4. It follows on the circle $\mathcal{C}$ that

$$\log Z(s) \lhd \eta^{\frac{\log(r_2/r)}{\log(r_2/r_1)}} B^{\frac{\log(r/r_1)}{\log(r_2/r_1)}} \log T \leq \epsilon\log T, \tag{4.15}$$

with arbitrarily small ϵ by letting $\eta \le \epsilon^{\frac{\log(r_2/r_1)}{\log(r_2/r)}} B^{\frac{\log(r_2/r)}{\log(r/r_1)}}$. Note that $\log|Z(s)| \le |\log Z(s)|$. We have (4.8). Finally, we let $\varepsilon \to 0$ so that R_2 is arbitrarily near λ. Therefore, $\zeta(\lambda + it) \lhd T^{\epsilon}$ and Theorem 1 is valid whenever $\sigma \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

5. Having recourse to symmetry

By definition, the Riemann zeta function for $\sigma > 1$ is a sum over the set of all the integers. Any function involving the set of all the integers must somehow contain the information for the distribution of the prime numbers. The factorial function is defined by $n! = 1{\cdot}2{\cdot}3 \cdots n$. Euler's Gamma function $\Gamma(s)$ is a complex function version for the factorial function, which may be defined by

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} = s\, e^{\gamma_0 s} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(1 + \frac{s}{n}\Big) e^{-\frac{s}{n}}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\gamma_0 = \lim_{n\to\infty}\big(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n\big) \approx 0.577215$ is the Euler-Mascheroni gamma constant. This $\Gamma(s)$ must contain the data for the distribution of the prime numbers. The Riemann zeta function has a simple pole at $s = 1$ and trivial zeros at $s = -2, -4, -6, \ldots$; the Euler Gamma function has poles at $s = 0, -1, -2, \ldots$. Bonding $\zeta(s)$ and $\Gamma(s)$ together naturally, we obtain the xi-function. That is,

$$\xi(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\, \tfrac{s}{2}\, \Gamma\big(\tfrac{s}{2}\big)(s-1)\, \zeta(s). \tag{5.2}$$

It is an entire function so that simpler from the function theoretic point of view. Moreover, one has

$$\xi(1-s) = \xi(s), \tag{5.3}$$

as well as $\xi(\overline{s}) = \overline{\xi(s)}$. Now we use the symmetric property in (5.3) to prove Theorem 1 in the case of $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

Finally,

References

1. Alfors, L. V., *Complex Analysis*, McGrax-Hill, 3rd Ed., New York, 1979.
2. Borwein, P., Choi, S., Rooney, B., and, Weirathmueller, A., *The Riemann hypothesis*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Hong Kong, London, Milan, Paris, Tokyo, June 29, 2007.
3. Enrico Bombieri, *Prime territory: Exploring the infinite landscape at the base of the number system*, The Sciences, pp. 30–36, 1992.
4. Backlund, R., *über die Beziehung zwischen Anwachsen u nd Nullstellen der Zeta-Funktion*, Ofversigt Finka Vetensk. Soc. 61 (9), 1918-1919.
5. Cipra, B., *A prime case of chaos*, What's Happening in the Mathematical Sciences, **4** (1999), pp. 2–17.
6. Cheng, Y. and Caldwell, C., *Determining Mills' Constant and a Note on Honaker's Problem*, Integer sequences, Article 05.4.1, **8** (2005).
7. Cheng, Y., Fox, G. J. and Graham, S. W., *Proof of the generalized Riemann hypothesis*, Initiated, 2009.

8. Cheng, Y. and Graham, S. W., *Estimates on the Riemann zeta function*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 4, **41** (2004), pp. 1261–1280.
9. Cheng, Y. and Pomerance C. B., *On primes beyond the Riemann hypothesis*, In preparation, 2009.
10. Chandrasekharan, K., *Arithmetical Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970.
11. Cheng, Y., *Analytic implications from the remainder term of the prime number theorem*, to be submitted to Integers: Electronic journal of combinatorial number theory. In the final process, 2009.
12. Cheng, Y., *Proof of the density hypothesis*, Submitted to the Journal of the American Mathematical Society, July 22, 2009.
13. Cheng, Y., *Estimates on primes between consecutive cubes*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, to appear, 2009.
14. Cheng, Y., *Explicit estimates on prime numbers*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, to appear, 2009.
15. Cheng, Y., *An explicit zero-free region for the Riemann zeta function*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **30** (2000), 135–148.
16. Cheng, Y., *A note on the upper bounds of the Riemann zeta function*, Proceeding American Mathematical Society, **??** (2010), pp. ???–??.
17. Conrey, J.B., *More than two fifths of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are on the critical line*, J. reine angew. Math., **399** (1989), pp. 1–26.
18. Crandall R. and Pomerance C., *Prime Numbers – A computational perspective*, Springer, 2001.
19. Davenport, H., *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
20. Edward, H. M., *Riemann's zeta function*, Academic, New York, London, 1974.
21. Gram, J. P., *Note sur les zeros de la fonction de Riemann*, Acta Math., **27** (1903), 289–304.
22. Hoheisel, G., *Primzahlprobleme in der Analysis*, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., **33** (1930), pp. 580–588.
23. Ivić, A., *The Riemann zeta function – Theory and Applications*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York, 1985.
24. Ivić, A., *On the multiplicity of zeros of the zeta-function*, Bulletin CXVIII de lAcadémie Serbe des Sciences et des Arts (1999), Classe des Sciences mathématiques et naturelles, Sciences mathématiques, No. 24, pp. 119–131.
25. Karatsuba, A. A. and Voronin, Sergei M., *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Walter de Gruyter, 1992.
26. Klarriech, E., *Prime time*, New Scientist, No. 2264, 2000.
27. Korobov, N. M., *On zeros of* $\zeta(s)$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Nauk SSSR, **118**, (1958), pp. 231–232.
28. Lindelöf, E., *Quelques remarques sur la coissance de la function* $\zeta(s)$, Bull. Sci. Math. 32: pp. 341356, 1908.
29. Littlewood, J.E., *On the zeros of the Riemann zeta funtion*, P.C.P.S. **22**, pp. 175–201, 1924.
30. Levinson, N., *More than one−third of the zeros of the Riemann zetafunction are on* $s = 1/2$, Adv. Math., **13** (1974), pp. 383–436.
31. Lagarias, J. C., *An elementary problem equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **109** (2002), pp. 534–543.
32. Odlyzko, A. M. and Schnhage, A., *Fast algorithms for multiple evaluations of the Riemann zeta function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 2, **309** (1988), pp. 797–809.
33. http://planetmath.org/encyclopedia/ValueOfTheRiemannZetaFunctionAtS0.html
34. Riemann, B., *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter eine gegebener Grösse*, Monatsber. Akad., Berlin, pp. 671–680, 1859.

35. Bagchi, B., *Recurrence in Topological Dynamics and the Riemann hypothesis*, Acta Math. Hungar., **50** (1987), pp. 227–240.
36. Robin, G., *Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann*, J. Math. Pures appl., **63** (1984), pp. 187–213.
37. Selberg, A., *On the zeros of the zeta-function of Riemann*, Der Kong. Norske Vidensk. Selsk. Forhand., **15** (1942), pp. 5962; also, Collected Papers, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, Vol. I (1989), pp. 156–159.
38. Schoenfeld, L., *Sharper bounds for he Chebyshev functions* $\vartheta(x)$ *and* $\psi(x)$ *II*, Math. Comp., **30** (1976), pp. 337–360. Corrigendum, *ibid.*, **30** (1976), pp. 900.
39. Turán, P. , *On the remainder term of the prime number theorem f ormula II*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., **1** (1950), pp. 155–166 .
40. Vinogradov, I. M., *A new estimate for* $\zeta(1+it)$, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **22** (1958), pp. 161–164.
41. Voronin, Sergei M., *Theorem on the Universality of the Riemann Zeta Function*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem. 39, pp. 475-486, 1975. Reprinted in Math. USSR Izv., **9** (1975), pp. 443-445.

Drafted: February 27, 2010 – April 29, 2010.
Submitted: May 13, 2010.

Keywords: Prime numbers, the Riemann zeta function, the Riemann hypothesis, the prime number theorem, the density hypothesis, induction on the interval, differences, and the Lindelöf hypothesis.

Cheng, Yuan-You Fu-Rui and Pomerance, Carl B.

Abstract. The Riemann zeta function is a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane. It has infinitely many zeros and a unique pole at $s = 1$. Those zeros at $s = -2, -4, -6, \ldots$ are known as trivial zeros. The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture of Riemann, from 1859, claiming that all nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $x \geq 2$. Define $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ whenever $n = p^m$ for a prime number p and a positive integer m, and zero otherwise. The Riemann hypothesis is then equivalent to the ψ-form of the prime number theorem as $\psi(x) - x = O(x^{1/2}\log^2 x)$, where $\psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$ with the sum running through the set of all natual integers. Similar to that in the literature, we use the classical integral formula for the Heaviside function in the form of $H(x) = \int_{m-i\infty}^{m+i\infty} \frac{x^s}{s}\,\mathrm{d}\,s$ where $m > 0$, and $H(x)$ is 0 when $x < 1$, $\frac{1}{2}$ when $x = 1$, and 1 when $x > 1$. Starting with the estimate on $\psi(x) - x = O(x\log x)$, we use induction to reduce the size of the exponent $\theta = \theta(x)$ in $\varpi(x) = O(x^{\theta}\log^2 x)$, while we also use induction on x when $\theta(x)$ is fixed. We prove the Riemann hypothesis.

Department of Mathematics, University of , Philadelphia, PA 191??, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `Cheng, Yuan-You Fu-Rui (a.k.a. fred) <chengfy@math.u????.edu>`

Mathematics Department, Fu-Dan University, Shanghai, PRC
E-mail address: `Wang, Ju-Ping <jpwang@fudan.edu.cn>`